RECEIVED

JUN - 7 2004

RECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Bldg, Room

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450
If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business
Penalty For Private Use, \$300

N EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



PHILESO* 105912014 1603 09 05/4*/07 10511FY SENDER OF NEW ADDRESS NOTIFY SELECTRONICS NO AMERICA 15HILLS SCARBOROUGH RD 345 SCARBOROUGH RD 3510-2027



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,418	07/13/2001	Sunil Kulkarni	US 019011	4726
7590 05/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Corporate Patent Counsel Philips Electronics North America Corp.			SUNG, CHRISTINE	
580 White Plains Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			2878	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

JUN - 7 2004
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/905,418	KULKARNI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
•	Christine Sung	2878			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	nely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	<u>farch 2004</u> .				
20/23 11110 01011011101 7					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 14,15 and 20-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13,16-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003 and 13 July 2001</u> is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/905,418

Art Unit: 2878

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 3/2/2004 was accepted and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding the claim, the steps of storing the image data format definition in a format definition file including a pointer to the format definition file was not specifically disclosed.

NOTE: Should the applicant argue that storing the image data in a format definition file is inherent to having the file, then the reference currently cited in this office action can be applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 2878

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-8 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thiel (US Patent 4,887,211) in view of Rodriguez, et al. (US Pre Grant Publication 2002/0154146 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Thiel discloses an image processor comprising: a detector (Column 4, lines 35-39) that acquires event data; and image processor (element 28) that processes the even data to produce image data; an image data storage medium (element 28), which stores the image data. Further it is inherent that the apparatus includes an image data processor because the apparatus has the ability to format the image data for storage on the storage medium (column 5, lines 31-34). Thiel does not specifically disclose that the image data for storage on the storage medium is in an extensible and open data format. However it is well known in the art, as shown by Rodriguez et al. (see claim 4) to use an extensible markup formal or xml format for images. It would have been obvious to use an extensible or open format with the invention as disclosed by Thiel so that the images taken from the camera could be manipulated and formatted for desired applications. The xml or open format is well known in the art as an open format that can be converted to various types of desired formats, which gives the user of the camera system added flexibility in determining how to process the given data and free range in choosing the preferred format.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding independent claim 17, Thiel discloses an image processor that uses a nuclear camera system comprising: a detector (column 4, lines 35-39), used to acquire event data; an image processor (element 28) to process the event data to produce image data; acquisition controller (element 34) to control the detector; and a control data storage medium (see claim 4 and 5), coupled to the controller which stores control data. Thiel does not specify the use of xml format, but does not specify the type of image format. It is well known in the art as shown in Rodriguez et al. (see claim 4), to use an xml format for images so that the images can be modified for different types of applications with different formatting requirements. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the xml format disclosed by Rodriguez with the invention disclosed by Thiel so that the data collected from Thiel's invention could be used in various formatting applications.

Regarding claim 2, Thiel discloses the limitations set forth in claims 1 and 10, but does not specify the type of image format. It is well known in the art, as shown in Rodriguez et al. (see claim 4), to use an xml format for images so that the images can be modified for different types of applications with different formatting requirements. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the xml format disclosed by Rodriguez with the invention disclosed by Thiel so that the data collected from Thiel's invention could be used in various formatting applications.

Regarding claim 3, the limitations set forth in claims 1 and 2 are disclosed above. Although the references do no explicitly state that the data format is self-descriptive, it is inherent in xml format that the data format is self-descriptive.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 4, 5 and 6, the limitations set forth in claim 3 are disclosed in the abovementioned paragraphs. Further, the claims disclose various descriptions of being self-descriptive. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the disclosed various formats with the invention as disclosed by Thiel in view of Rodriguez.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, all of these claims disclose the use of a pointer to point to an address of a file stored on the camera system, or a URL address where the image data definition may be found. Although the references do not explicitly state pointing to any of the aforementioned files, it would have been obvious that the image file could point to any of the aforementioned files. Since the image file points to a file storing definition of the image data format it could also point to a file stored on the camera system or a URL address. It would only be a matter of design choice as to where the image data would be sent because where the pointer is directed depends upon where the data is intended to be used, i.e. if it is desired to be viewed on a web page, a pointer to a URL address would be appropriate.

Regarding claim 18, the limitations set forth in claim 17 are described in the abovementioned paragraphs. Further, it is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to couple a hard drive or some form of a storage medium with the invention disclosed by Thiel, so that the image information may be transferred or modified at a later time. It is well known in the art to have a storage medium to store images that have been processed.

Further, regarding claim 19, it is also obvious that if the image data files are stored, that the image data files are also accessible by user commands.

Art Unit: 2878

Further regarding claims 18 and 19, all of the functions disclosed in the aforementioned claims are inherent to a conventional computer processor.

7. Claims 10-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thiel (US Patent 4,887,211) in view of Senn et al. and further in view of Rodriguez (US Pre Grant Publication 2002/0154146).

Regarding claim 10, Thiel discloses an image processor that uses a nuclear camera system comprising: a detector (column 4, lines 35-39), used to acquire event data; an image processor (element 28) to process the event data to produce image data; acquisition controller (element 34) to control the detector. Thiel does not specify a control data storage medium, coupled to the acquisition controller which stores control data in an xml format. Senn et al. discloses a processing device including a control data storage medium that stores control data in an open format (see paragraph 4, lines 29-41). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the control data storage medium disclosed by Senn with the invention disclosed by Thiel in order to be able to correlate the control data to the image data in a desired format. Although Thiel does not specifically disclose that the image data for storage on the storage medium is in an extensible and open data format, Rodriguez discloses the use of a xml format for images. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to sue an extensible or open format with the invention as disclose by Thiel in view of Senn so that the images taken from the camera could be manipulated and formatted for desired applications. The xml or open format is well known in the art and would be obvious to use in this type of processing as it is desirable to have the ability to manipulate image data and/or event data using desired file types.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 11, Thiel discloses the limitations set forth in claims 1 and 10, but does not specify the type of image format. It is well known in the art, as shown in Rodriguez et al. (see claim 4), to use an xml format for images so that the images can be modified for different types of applications with different formatting requirements. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the xml format disclosed by Rodriguez with the invention disclosed by Thiel so that the data collected from Thiel's invention could be used in various formatting applications.

Regarding claim 12, Thiel discloses an image processor that uses a nuclear camera system comprising: a detector (column 4, lines35-39), used to acquire event data; and image processor (element 28) to process the event data to produce image data; acquisition controller (element 34) to control the detector. Thiel does not specify a control data storage medium, coupled to the acquisition controller which store control data in a given format. Senn et al. discloses a processing device including a control data storage medium that store control data in an open forma (see paragraph 4, lines 29-41). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the control data storage medium disclosed by Senn et al. with the invention disclosed by Thiel in order to be able to correlate the control data to the image data in a desired format. Further, it is well known in the art to display the control data used at the time of the data accumulation, such as a collimator data, isotope data and energy window data. It is well known in the art in image collection applications to include control data, for example, when x-ray images are take, a tag including the control data is supplemented with the image to inform the used while acquiring the image data.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 13, the limitations set forth in claim 12 is described in the abovementioned paragraphs. Further "collimated.xml, and energywindowsets.xml" are all standard forms of the xml format.

Regarding claim 16, the limitation set forth in claim 13 is described in the abovementioned paragraphs. Further the ".dtd." by xml definition are pointed to by the xml files.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. The applicant's argument that a CT scanner is not analogous to a nuclear camera is not persuasive. The claims do not have any limitations that reflect detailed use of a nuclear camera, but rather the claims reflect an invention that is directed to the image data and the processing and manipulation of that data. Therefore, the previous rejection of the claims still stands.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 14-15 and 20-22 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The allowable subject matter was indicated in the prior office action.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2878

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Sung whose telephone number is 571-272-2448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday 7-5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christine Sung Examiner Art Unit 2878

CS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800